

Appendix 11. Final Round Scoring Form

Team Name: _____

Evaluation Dimension	Points	Score Range	Scoring Criteria	Score
Defense presentation quality	10	8-10	The presentation is clear and effectively presents the core ideas, technical route, and exploration process to the judges in an accurate and intuitive manner.	
		4-7	The presentation is relatively clear and presents the core ideas, technical route, and exploration process to the judges with reasonable accuracy and clarity.	
		0-3	The presentation is not sufficiently clear, and the preparation of the presentation content is inadequate.	
Technical approach and model methods - Modeling exploration process	10	8-10	The modeling approach is clear, considers multiple task-related scenarios comprehensively, clearly explains the computational methods, screening logic, and use of computing resources, and is implemented effectively.	
		4-7	The modeling approach is relatively clear, includes some additional task-related considerations, and is implemented relatively well.	
		0-3	The modeling approach is not sufficiently clear and does not consider the task comprehensively.	
Technical approach and model methods - Innovation of the modeling approach	10	8-10	The technical innovation level is high, with original ideas and distinctive thinking.	
		4-7	The technical innovation level is moderate, and the approach mainly draws on existing research.	
		0-3	The technical innovation level is low, and the approach directly draws on existing research work.	
Wet-lab score	70	Based on wet-lab results	The wet-lab score will be converted at a weight of 70% and included in the total score. Converted wet-lab score = wet-lab score × 70%. Full score: 70 points.	
Total	100			
Comments				

Judge Signature: _____

Scoring Notes

1. Each judge shall independently score and sign the scoring form based on the Participating Team's on-site presentation and Q&A performance.
2. The judges shall be responsible for the authenticity and fairness of their evaluation comments.
3. For the on-site defense score, the highest score and the lowest score will be removed, and the average of the remaining scores will be used as the on-site defense score. If two or more teams have the same final score, the Final-Round Judging Committee will determine the ranking after comprehensive deliberation based on wet-lab score, defense performance, and the Challenge rules.
4. Final Round score = converted wet-lab score + on-site defense score. The score will be rounded to two decimal places. $\text{Converted wet-lab score} = \text{wet-lab score} \times 70\%$, with a maximum of 70 points. The on-site defense score is the sum of the three items in this form: defense presentation quality, modeling exploration process, and innovation of the modeling approach, with a maximum of 30 points.